A cross-dialect acoustic description of vowels: Peruvian versus European Spanish 5aSCc29

Ň A Kateřina Chládková^a, Paola Escudero^b and Paul Boersma^b ^aDept. of English and American Studies, Palacký University Olomouc, Czech Republic, ^bInstitute of Phonetic Sciences, University of Amsterdam, Netherlands

Previous research

- Assumption that Spanish vowels do not vary across dialects.
- L2 studies do not consider dialectal variation in L1 (Flege et al. 1997, Escudero & Boersma 2004)
- Some cross-dialectal differences may exist (Godínez 1978)
- Vowels of Brazilian and European Portuguese
- Significant differences in formant values (Escudero et al. submitted)
- Vowels of European and Peruvian Spanish produced in isolation
- Significant differences in duration and F0 Hardly any difference in formants (Morrison & Escudero 2007)

Aims of the present study

- Describe and compare acoustic properties of vowels of European and Peruvian Spanish (ES and PS)
- Further investigate differences between ES and PS vowels
- Test the effect of different consonantal environments on vowels across the two dialects
- Test properties of isolated vowels versus vowels produced in words and embedded in sentences

Figure 1: Analysis of one of the contexts, isolated vowels. Using a fixed gender ceiling (top) and the optimized-ceiling method (bottom). The optimized ceiling yields much smaller standard deviation and 'repairs' strange cases









Method

Materials analyzed in this study were taken from the recordings used by Morrison & Escudero (2007) The methodology of acoustic measurements is adopted from Escudero et al. (submitted)

1. Data collection: production experiment

Participants: 20 speakers from Madrid, 20 speakers from Lima Materials: "CVCe / CVCo. En CVCe y CVCo tenemos V." V in a phrase: one of /a/, /e/, /i/, /o/, /u/; C in a phrase: one of /p/, /t/, /k/, /f/, /s/

2. Acoustic analyses: testing for dialectal differences

in all contexts together

= not considering the contextual variation as in Escudero et al (in prep.)

- In each consonantal context
 - = possible variation obscured by collapsing contexts In words and sentences
- isolated vowels

= using the same methodology as for in context tokens (cf. Morrison & Escudero (2007) who analyzed the same data with a different method)

Measure for: Duration. F0. F1 and F2

Formant measurements:

- 1. a fixed gender ceiling was set (first 5 formants found up to 5500 Hz for F, 5000 Hz for M)
- → turned out to be problematic
- 2. the ceiling was optimized to the vowel and speaker (see Fig.1)
- → all tokens of each vowel identity of each speaker were analyzed with the same ceiling

Results				Figure 2: Vowel spaces for each sentential context. Dashed line: ES, solid line: PS, large curves: women, small curves: men.	
Table 1: Differences between dialects (no interaction gender-dialect)					
Context:	Pooled	Consonant	Sentence	Word	Isolated
Duration	×	✓ e, i, o_s, e_p, o_f, p<.05	×	×	√ p<0.001
F0	×	×	×	×	√ p=.029
F1	✓ /a/, p=.001	✓ /a/, in all cons. p< .01	✓ /a/, p=.001	✓ /a/, p=.002	? /a/, p=.02
F2	? /e/, p=.036 ? /o/, p=.053	✓ o_s, p<0.001 ✓ i, u, a_s, p<0.05	✓ /o/, p=.003 ? /e/, p=.034	×	×



Conclusions and further research

>The average F1 value of /a/ is higher in ES than in PS (by 7.1% when vowels are embedded in sentences, 5.6% in words, and 9.5% when produced in isolation at the end of a sentence).

- >The sVsV context yielded the largest F2 difference; the sentence context yielded the largest dialectal difference.
- >The present analysis of isolated vowels yields different results than those reported in Morrison&Escudero(2007). A comparison between studies' figures shows that the measured vowel spaces are quite different.
- Current research examines the difference between formant measurement methods.

Additionally, dialectal differences between the /a/-/e/ and /a/-/o/ acoustic distances are being investigated.