

Westerwald Franconian: A different ternary scale for tone spreading

Bjoern Koehnlein & Paul Boersma
Meertens Instituut & University of Amsterdam

Our fieldwork on the Westerwald (Moselle Franconian) dialect of Arzbach (Germany) leads us to posit a hitherto undetected pattern of consonant-tone interaction: a **ternary scale for tone spreading** whose order differs from the scale for tonogenesis of low tones and for depressor consonants in Asian and African tone languages.

1. THE SCALES

Franconian:	sonorant	>	voiced obstruent	>	voiceless obstruent
Asian/African:	voiced obstruent	>	sonorant	>	voiceless obstruent

2. THE DATA

Franconian 'tone' languages			
Open Syllable Lengthening without schwa drop			
	Voiceless obstruent	Voiced obstruent	Sonorant
	'eat'	'praise'	'steal'
Medieval	et/sən	lɔvən	stelən
Limburgian	éétə	lóvə	stéélə
Arzbach	éésə	lóðvə	stéélə
Ripuaric	éésə	lóðvə	stéélə

marked red = tone merger with medieval long vowels

In some cases the tones of the lengthened vowels merged with the tones of the medieval long vowels which are fully predictable (Limburg and Ripuaric: áá, óó, èè - Arzbach: áá, óó, éé).

We see the scale **sonorant** > **voiced obstruent** > **voiceless obstruent** when comparing the dialects:

If there are two different tones (Arzbach and Ripuaric), sonorants always behave oppositely from voiceless obstruents.
Crucially, voiced obstruents behave ambivalently.

Arzbach: voiced obstruents side with **voiceless obstruents**
Ripuaric: voiced obstruents side with **sonorants**

Asian and African tone languages	
Siswati (Bradshaw 1999):	After voiced obstruents, vowels are realized with a low tone. Sonorants and voiceless obstruents do not influence tone.
/kú + oŋga/	→ [kóoŋgà] 'to economize'
/kú + na/	→ [kúúna] 'to rain'
/sí + k ook o/	→ [sík ook o] 'frog'
Western Bade (Schuh 2002):	L spreads to the following syllable if this syllable begins with a voiced segment and is followed by a H after a clitic phrase- or phonological phrase-boundary. The spreading is blocked if the syllable begins with a voiceless segment.
/d̥ɔ̀ə-dgʷ kóórón/	→ [d̥ɔ̀ə-dgʷ kóórón] 'we followed a donkey'
/d̥ɔ̀ə wànú kázámán/	→ [d̥ɔ̀ə wànú kázámán] 'we sent a girl'
/d̥ɔ̀ə gáfá kóórón/	→ [d̥ɔ̀ə gáfá kóórón] 'we caught a donkey'
We see the scale voiced obstruent > sonorant > voiceless obstruent when comparing both languages:	
In Siswati and Western Bade, voiced obstruents always behave oppositely from voiceless obstruents. Crucially, sonorants behave ambivalently.	
Siswati: sonorants side with voiceless obstruents Western Bade: sonorants side with voiced obstruents	

3. HOW BOTH SCALES CAN BE UNDERSTOOD IN PHONETIC TERMS

Scale of audibility of tone in general:
1. any tone is most audible on sonorants; 2. any tone is less audible on voiced obstruents; 3. any tone is least audible on voiceless obstruents.
Franconian uses this scale because both H and L spread: Ripuaric declarative focus: éésən, stéélən → éésən, stéélən Ripuaric interrogative focus: èésən, stèéələn → èésən, stèéələn
This scale is appropriate for pitch-accent languages, where tonal material comes from grammatical H and L and hence is unpredictably associated with specific segments.

Scale of articulatory association with specific tones:
1. voiced obstruents favour low tone; 2. sonorants favour no specific tone; 3. voiceless obstruents favour high tone.
This is the scale familiar from Halle & Stevens (1971) and Bradshaw (1999).
This scale is appropriate for 'pure' tone languages, where tonal material comes from lexical H and L and hence is predictably associated with specific segments.

Conclusion:

The scales are directly phonetically appropriate for pitch-accent versus 'pure' tone languages. Since there do not seem to be any *structural* differences between the surface forms in the two types of languages, **the difference between the two scales does not seem to have a phonological cause.**